

Week 2 - Friday

**COMP 4500**

# Last time

---

- What did we talk about last time?
- Stable marriage
- Representative problems

# Questions?

# Logical warmup

- There are two lengths of rope
- Each one takes exactly one hour to burn completely
- The ropes are not the same lengths as each other
- Neither rope burns at a consistent speed (10% of a rope could take 90% of the burn time, etc.)
- How can you burn the ropes to measure out exactly 45 minutes of time?



# Three-Sentence Summary of Survey of Common Running Times

# Survey of Common Running Times

# Common running times

- It's a good idea to know the lay of the land when it comes to running times
- Some running times ( $O(n)$ ,  $O(\log n)$ ,  $O(n^2)$ ) come up all the time
- There are often common characteristics between algorithms with the same running times

# Linear time

- $O(n)$  is linear time
- Linear algorithms only need to scan through the input once (or perhaps a constant number of times)
- **Online algorithms** and **data stream algorithms** take this approach of processing an item as it arrives (and are often linear)



# Find the maximum

- The following straightforward algorithm finds the maximum in linear time
  - Note that this book (and many others) indexes from 1 rather than 0
- $\mathit{max} = a_1$
- For  $i = 2$  to  $n$ 
  - If  $a_i > \mathit{max}$  then
    - $\mathit{max} = a_i$

# Merge two sorted lists

- List  $A = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  and  $B = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$
- Keep  $\textit{current}_a$  and  $\textit{current}_b$  pointers into each list, initialized to point to  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ , respectively
- While both lists are non-empty
  - Let  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  be the elements that  $\textit{current}_a$  and  $\textit{current}_b$  point to
  - Append the smaller to the output list
  - Update the appropriate pointer
- Once a list is empty, append the remainder of the other list to the output

# Analysis of merge

- You can't say that you do constant work per element, since some elements might be compared many, many times
- However, you can account for each item by "charging" it whenever it is added to the final list
- There are only as many iterations as there are charges
- Each element can only be charged once, and there are  $2n$  elements
- Thus, the total time is  $\Theta(n)$

# Linearithmic time

- $O(n \log n)$  time is a common running time
  - Sometimes called linearithmic
  - In practice only slightly worse than linear
- This running time is usually associated with divide and conquer algorithms
  - Any algorithm that recursively divides its input into  $k$  equal pieces and then combines the solutions for those pieces in linear time will be  $O(n \log n)$
- $O(n \log n)$  is the best possible time for a comparison-based sort, including merge sort
- Any algorithm that sorts elements and then does a linear scan will be  $O(n \log n)$

# Quadratic time

- Comparing all pairs of  $n$  things will lead to an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm (since there are  $n(n-1)/2$  pairs)
  - This is a naïve approach for finding the two closest points in a plane
  - It turns out there is a cleverer way to do it in  $O(n \log n)$  time
- Quadratic time also commonly arises when there are two nested loops

# Cubic time

- Cubic time is considered to be close to the slowest practical running time for many problems
- If testing to see if an element is in a set can be done in constant time, testing to see which of  $n$  sets are disjoint can be done in cubic time
- For each set  $S_i$ 
  - For each other set  $S_j$ 
    - For each element  $p$  of  $S_i$ 
      - Determine whether  $p$  belongs to  $S_j$
    - If no element of  $S_i$  belongs to  $S_j$ 
      - Report that  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  are disjoint

# Matrix multiplication

- Matrix multiplication often comes up in the discussion of cubic time
- Multiplying two  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  takes cubic time
- For  $i = 1$  to  $n$ 
  - For  $j = 1$  to  $n$ 
    - $C[i, j] = 0$ 
      - For  $k = 1$  to  $n$ 
        - $C[i, j] = C[i, j] + A[i, k] \cdot B[k, j]$
- Matrix chain multiplication optimization also has an  $O(n^3)$  dynamic programming algorithm
- Ironically, both matrix multiplication and matrix chain multiplication optimization both have better algorithms

# $O(n^k)$ time

- If you want to search over all pairs, you get  $O(n^2)$  algorithms
- If you want to search over all subsets of size  $k$ , you'll get  $O(n^k)$  algorithms
- For example, the following algorithm will find independent sets of size  $k$
- For each subset  $S$  of  $k$  nodes
  - Check whether  $S$  is an independent set
  - If  $S$  is independent
    - Print "Success!" and exit
- Print "Failure!"



# Analysis of size $k$ independent set algorithm

- How many  $k$ -element subsets are there?

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-k+1)}{k(k-1)(k-2) \dots (2)(1)} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!}$$

- Since  $k$  is a constant,  $k!$  is a constant too
- For each set of  $k$  things, we have to check  $O(k^2)$  pairs to see if they have an edge between them
  - Since  $k$  is a constant, this is a constant too
- The total work is thus  $O(n^k)$

# Beyond polynomial time

- The full maximum independent set algorithm:
- For each subset  $S$  of nodes
  - Check whether  $S$  is an independent set
  - If  $S$  is a larger independent set than the largest seen yet
    - Record the size of  $S$  as the new maximum

# Running time for maximum independent set

- There are  $2^n$  subsets of an  $n$ -element set
- Since each subset could be as large as  $n$ , testing whether it is independent could mean testing  $O(n^2)$  pairs
- The total running time is thus  $O(n^2 2^n)$

# Factorial

- If you have  $n$  items that you want to match up with  $n$  other items, there are  $n!$  possibilities
- Recall that  $n! = n(n-1)(n-2) \dots (2)(1)$
- $n!$  grows even **faster** than  $2^n$
- Even though there are  $n!$  ways to match up  $n$  men with  $n$  women, our stable marriage algorithm worked in  $O(n^2)$  time
- $O(n!)$  time also comes up when you're trying to order  $n$  items

# Sublinear time

- Is it possible to do better than linear time?
- Yes, both  $O(\log n)$  time and  $O(\sqrt{n})$  are better than linear time
- A great example of logarithmic running time is binary search on sorted array **A**, looking for value **k**
- **start** = 1
- **end** = **n**
- While **start** < **end**
  - **middle** = (**start** + **end**) / 2
  - If **A[middle]** < **k**
    - **end** = **middle** - 1
  - Else if **A[middle]** > **k**
    - **start** = **middle** + 1
  - Else
    - Print "Value found at location " + **middle**

# Running time for binary search

- We cut the search space in half every time
- At worst, we keep cutting  $n$  in half until we get 1
- Let's say  $x$  is the number of times we look:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^x n &= 1 \\ n &= 2^x \\ \log_2 n &= x\end{aligned}$$

- The running time is  $O(\log n)$

# Worked Exercises

# Exercise 1

- Put the following functions in ascending order of growth rate
  - $f_1(n) = 10^n$
  - $f_2(n) = n^{\frac{1}{3}}$
  - $f_3(n) = n^n$
  - $f_4(n) = \log_2 n$
  - $f_5(n) = 2^{\sqrt{\log_2 n}}$



## Exercise 2

- Let  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  be two functions that take nonnegative values, and suppose that  $f(n)$  is  $O(g(n))$ .
- Prove that  $g(n)$  is  $\Omega(f(n))$ .

# Quiz

# Upcoming

# Next time...

---

- Proofs by mathematical induction
- Definitions and applications for graphs

# Reminders

- **Assignment 1 is due tonight at midnight**
- Start on Assignment 2 when it's assigned
- Read section 3.1